

THE PORT AUTHORITY OF NY & NJ

PROCUREMENT DEPARTMENT
2 MONTGOMERY STREET, 3RD FL.
JERSEY CITY, NJ 07302

7/28/2014

ADDENDUM #6

To prospective Proposer(s) on RFP # 38391 for General Collection Services for the Electronic Toll Collection Program “(E-ZPass®)”

Due back on 8/4/2014, no later than 2:00 P.M.

PROPOSERS’ QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

The following information is available in response to questions submitted by prospective Proposers. The responses should not be deemed to answer all questions, which have been submitted by Proposers to the Port Authority. It addresses only those questions, which the Port Authority has deemed to require additional information and/or clarification. The fact that information has not been supplied with respect to any questions asked by a Proposers does not mean or imply, nor should it be deemed to mean or imply, any meaning, construction, or implication with respect to the terms.

The Port Authority makes no representations, warranties or guarantees that the information contained herein is accurate, complete or timely or that such information accurately represents the conditions that would be encountered during the performance of the Contract. The furnishing of such information by the Port Authority shall not create or be deemed to create any obligation or liability upon it for any reason whatsoever and each Proposer, by submitting its Proposal, expressly agrees that it has not relied upon the foregoing information, and that it shall not hold the Port Authority liable or responsible therefor in any manner whatsoever. Accordingly, nothing contained herein and no representation, statement or promise, of the Port Authority, its Commissioners, officers, agents, representatives, or employees, oral or in writing, shall impair or limit the effect of the warranties of the Proposer required by this Proposal or Contract and the Proposer agrees that it shall not hold the Port Authority liable or responsible therefor in any manner whatsoever.

The Questions and Answers numbering sequence will be continued sequentially in any forthcoming Addenda that may be issued.

<i>Question #92</i>	Section 7, M/WBE Subcontracting Provisions, Page 18, Bullet 1, “Identification of M/WBE’s” states “Provide the names and addresses of all M/WBEs included in the Plan. If none are identified, describe the process for selecting participant firms in order to achieve the good faith goals under the Contract.” Based on the sentence above, is it acceptable and would Proposer be in compliance with RFP response to submit a M/WBE Participation Plan (Attachment C) that outlines the process for selecting participants in order to achieve the good faith goals without providing Names or Services to be provided by M/WBE firms at this time? If the above is deemed as an acceptable M/WBE Participation Plan response, should
---------------------	---

PS11All

	Proposer only answer Bullet 1 and Bullet 4 to provide an acceptable response?
Answer # 92	The Proposer’s response may be deemed non-responsive. The Proposer should address all bullet points on page 18 of Section 7, M/WBE SUBCONTRACTING PROVISIONS.
Question #93	On the M/WBE Participation Plan Form – Attachment C (Page 85), is “To be Determined (TBD)” an acceptable response to the following fields on the Plan form since the true contract value is unknown at this time – Contract Amount, Approximate \$ amount of M/WBE Subcontract.
Answer # 93	The proposer can indicate areas it expects to subcontract out to MWBEs with estimated values and/or percent of Contract.
Question #94	The Contractor currently, and will continue to exceed the required M/WBE participation and believes all subcontractors are eligible to be listed in the Authorities directory. The Contractor will submit an M/WBE Uniform Certificate Application to the Port Authority’s Office of Business Diversity and Civil Rights, and plans to include these subcontracts on the participation plan. Do the subcontracts need to be through the process of applying (and be accepted) prior to the proposal submission or is the application submitted status acceptable to the Authority?
Answer # 94	The Proposer can note on the M/WBE Plan if a firm is not certified by the Port Authority, but that its application is in for review.
Question # 95	Can you please define the scope of the SSAE 16 SOC 2 audit?
Answer # 95	The scope of the review should be for all of the services that would be provided to the Port Authority under the Contract and any Data Center for any computing resources that would be supporting their efforts.

This communication should be initialed by you and annexed to your Proposal upon submission.

In case any Proposer fails to conform to these instructions, its Proposal will nevertheless be construed as though this communication had been so physically annexed and initialed.

THE PORT AUTHORITY OF NY & NJ

KATHY LESLIE WHELAN, ASSISTANT DIRECTOR
COMMODITIES AND SERVICES DIVISION

PROPOSER'S FIRM NAME: _____

INITIALED: _____

DATE: _____

QUESTIONS CONCERNING THIS ADDENDUM MAY BE ADDRESSED TO MARGARET D’EMIC, WHO CAN BE REACHED AT (201) 395-3410 OR AT mdemic@panynj.gov.