

September 27, 2016

**SUBJECT: REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS FOR PERFORMANCE OF EXPERT PROFESSIONAL INTEGRITY MONITORING SERVICES FOR THE GEORGE WASHINGTON BRIDGE – SUSPENSION SYSTEM PROGRAM – REPLACEMENT OF SUSPENDER ROPES, REHABILITATION OF MAIN CABLES, REPLACEMENT OF MAIN SPAN SIDEWALKS AND CONSTRUCTION OF ACCESS RAMPS PROJECT – AS REQUESTED ON AN “AS-NEEDED” BASIS DURING 2017 – 2024 (RFP #47141) – ADDENDUM #2**

Dear Sir or Madam:

The following questions are from RFP recipients. The questions and the corresponding Authority answers are provided for your information and use, as appropriate.

**Question #1:** On Attachments D-1 and D-2, there is a spot for the ‘Client Name’ and one for the “Contracting Entity.” Can you please clarify what the difference is between the terms and what you expect to see for those entries?

**Answer #1:** “Client” is the name of the entity for whom you are working. “Contracting entity” is the firm with whom you have a contract. “Client” and “contracting entity” can be the same.

**Question #2:** Can a copy of the PowerPoint presentation used at the pre-proposal bid meeting be made available?

**Answer #2:** A copy of the PowerPoint presentation may not be released.

**Question #3:** Will the selected monitor be involved in monitoring the procurement of the Contractor to perform the construction?

**Answer #3:** No, it is not envisioned at this time.

**Question #4:** Will the monitor be expected to create a detailed risk assessment deliverable following completion of Task A?

**Answer #4:** The Office of the Inspector General will decide what is needed at a later time.

**Question # 5:** What type of contract is expected to be awarded to the winning contractor? (Lump Sum, GMP, Design Build)

**Answer # 5:** A Lump Sum contract.

**Question # 6:** Will all vetting and background investigations be the responsibility of the Integrity Monitor or will the Integrity Monitor’s work just supplement the vetting done by the Authority?

**Answer # 6:** The Integrity Monitor’s work will supplement the vetting done by the Authority.

**Question # 7:** In response to Section H.7 on page 6 of the RFP Letter, is there a template or form that can be provided by the Authority to show the computation of the Fully Loaded Hourly Rates and Certified Overhead Statement?

**Answer # 7:** No, there is no template, but proposers should provide the computation of rates, including a breakdown (e.g. hourly rates, vacation, holiday, sick pay, payroll taxes, workers' compensation, office rent, insurance, profit, etc.)

**Question # 8:** Section III (Submission Requirements), paragraph M covers the Port Authority's Background Qualification Questionnaire. Is it intended that paragraph M will be treated as tab "M" in the proposal?

**Answer # 8:** Yes.

**Question # 9:** Since the BQQ is sent to The Office of the Inspector General, should the contents behind the tab in the proposal be the actual BQQ or is a statement that acknowledges the BQQ requirements and indicates fulfillment sufficient?

**Answer # 9:** The BQQ should be submitted directly to The Office of the Inspector General. The proposal submission should contain a statement indicating that the firm has complied with the requirements in Section III (M) .

**The date for receipt of proposals for the subject RFP remains at 2:00 P.M. on September 29, 2016.**

If you have any questions, please contact Ms. Monika Radkowska, Senior Contract Specialist, at [mradkowska@panynj.gov](mailto:mradkowska@panynj.gov).

Sincerely,

David Gutiérrez, CPPO  
Assistant Director  
Procurement Department