



QUESTIONS FROM BIDDERS LOG #2

GWB-244.306 - George Washington Bridge - Replacement of Bridge Tower Transformers and Rehabilitation of Electrical Distribution Rooms

Updated: 8/18/2026

QUES	QUESTION	RESPONSE
1	I am emailing to ask for a formal 2-week extension for the bid date for this project. Our structural vendors need more time to compile pricing due to the extensive nature of the work.	Addressed by Addendum No. 1 dated August 10, 2026.
2	The contract drawings require installation of grounding electrode conductors from each transformer to the nearest structural steel beam. However, the Analysis of Bid Items does not appear to include a separate bid item for the grounding electrode conductors associated with these transformer installations. Since these grounding electrode conductors are required for multiple transformers throughout the project, please clarify under which Unit Price/Bid Item Number this work should be included for payment. If no existing bid item is intended to cover this work, please advise how the grounding electrode conductors are to be measured and paid.	
3	The Analysis of Bid (AOB) for Unit Numbers 50, 51, 58, 59, 73, 74, 81, and 82 identifies the conduit as PVC Conduit. However, the corresponding One-Line Diagrams and Electrical Installation Plans indicate PVC-Coated Rigid Galvanized Steel (RGS) conduit for these feeders. Please clarify the required conduit type for these unit numbers.	
4	The Analysis of Bid (AOB), Unit No. 74, identifies the work as 4-inch PVC conduit. However, Drawings E104 and E304 show the feeder from Transformer T-7A to NY-PPA installed in 2-1/2-inch PVC-Coated Rigid Galvanized Steel (RGS) conduit. Please clarify the required conduit size and type for this feeder. Specifically, should this installation be using 2-1/2-inch PVC-Coated RGS conduit as shown on the contract drawings, or 4-inch PVC conduit as indicated in the Analysis of Bid?	
5	The Analysis of Bid (AOB), Unit No. 82, identifies the work as 4-inch PVC conduit. However, Drawings E104 and E304 show the feeder from Transformer T-8A to NJ-PPA installed in 2-1/2-inch PVC-Coated Rigid Galvanized Steel (RGS) conduit. Please clarify the required conduit size and type for this feeder. Specifically, should this installation be using 2-1/2-inch PVC-Coated RGS conduit as shown on the contract drawings, or 4-inch PVC conduit as indicated in the Analysis of Bid?	
6	The Analysis of Bid (AOB), Unit No. 89, identifies the secondary feeder from Transformer T-5 to the NYLP LCP Disconnect Switch. However, the AOB does not appear to include a corresponding Unit Number for the primary feeder from S5NY to Transformer T-5. Drawing E104, Note 5, directs the Contractor to furnish and install the primary feeder cable and intercept and extend the existing conduit for this installation. Please clarify under which Analysis of Bid Unit Number this primary feeder work is to be included for payment.	
7	The contract drawings require the removal and replacement of the existing NYLP LCP Disconnect Switch. However, the Analysis of Bid (AOB) does not appear to identify a specific Unit Number for this work. Please confirm whether the removal of the existing disconnect switch and the furnishing and installation of the new NYLP LCP Disconnect Switch are to be included under Unit No. 88.	
8	We respectfully request a two (2) week extension of the bid due date.	Addressed by Addendum No. 2 dated August 17, 2026.